



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,043	06/21/2006	Eric Alliot	FR03 0159 US1	6976
65913	7550	09/18/2009		
NXP, B.V. NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			EXAMINER CHAWAN, SHEELA C	
			ART UNIT 2624	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 09/18/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/584,043

Applicant(s)

ALLIOT ET AL.

Examiner

SHEELA C. CHAWAN

Art Unit

2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 June 2006.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CIS)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/21/06

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Preliminary Amendment

2. Preliminary amendment filed on 6/21/06 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed 06/21/2006 has been considered by the examiner.

Drawings

4. The drawings (Figure 1) is rejected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "Node B" as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Furthermore, Figures 4-9 are not described by the detail labels (described only by reference numbers) for each block as described in Figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites a limitation of "**said controller**" in lines 4-5.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 7 recite the limitations of "pilot symbols" in lines 10 and 5, respectively and "symbols" elsewhere in the claims. It is unclear that pilot symbols and symbols are the same or different.

Claims 2-6, and 8-10 depend from the rejected base claims¹ and 7 and therefore they are rejected for the same reasons.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Kwak et al. (US 6,934,245 B2).

As to claim 7, Kwak et al. disclose a method for de-rotation of received symbols in a mobile communication apparatus (Title), the method comprising the steps of:

processing Primary Common Control Physical Channel during Space Time coding based Transmit Diversity transmission mode (column 1, lines 20-26; Figure 5);
processing pilot symbols (Figure 5);
processing symbols closed loop transmission modes (column 8, lines 12-65; and
outputting symbols in a temporal ordered sequence (column 8, lines 53-65).

As to claim 8, Kwak et al. disclose the method according to claim 7, wherein said step of processing Primary Common Control Physical Channel during Space Time coding based Transmit Diversity transmission mode comprises deleting a first symbol

related to Primary Common Control Physical Channel of every slot during Space Time coding based Transmit Diversity transmission mode (column 8, lines 12-65).

As to claim 9, Kwak et al. disclose the method according to claim 7, wherein said step of processing pilot symbols comprises processing a compressed mode by the steps of: summing two pilot symbols (Figure 5); and dividing the sum of said two pilot symbols by two (Figure 5).

As to claim 10, Kwak et al. disclose the method according to claim 7, wherein said step of outputting symbols comprises dividing the symbols by two when transmit diversity is present (Figure 5).

Other prior art cited

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Oh et al. (US 7,340,268 B2) disclose a reliability determination and combining of power control commands received in a wireless communication system.

Nilsson et al. (US 7,149,258 B2) disclose a method and apparatus for estimation of phase offset between communication channels.

Banerjee (US 7,286,593 B1) discloses a system and method for channel estimation in a radio frequency receiver.

Fitton et al. (US 7,386,032 B2) disclose a receiver processing systems.

Nilsson et al. (US 7,346,126 B2) disclose a method and apparatus for channel estimation using plural channels.

Lindskog (US 7,263,082 B1) discloses a resolving user specific narrow beam signals using a known sequence in a wireless communication system with a common pilot channel.

Ylitalo et al. (US 7,139,324 B1) disclose a closed loop feedback system for improved data link performance.

Dabak et al. (US 6,804,311 B1) disclose a diversity detection for WCDMA.

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHEELA C. CHAWAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7446. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30- 5.00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on 571-272-7401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)? If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sheela C Chawan/

9/13/09

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Application/Control Number: 10/584,043
Art Unit: 2624

Page 8